

Message

**From:** Hannon, ED (AS) [Edward.Hannon@ngc.com]  
**Sent:** 2/12/2013 3:17:34 PM  
**To:** Stein, Carol [Stein.Carol@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: EXT :Fw: RE: Complaint & Petition to Reconsider RE: EPA Superfund Petition

Carol

Thank you.  
Can I call in an attempt to gain some clarity of the basis for the Petition?

Ed  
516-575-2333

---

**From:** Stein.Carol@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Stein.Carol@epamail.epa.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 12, 2013 9:43 AM  
**To:** Hannon, ED (AS); Fly Lora B CIV NAVFAC MIDLANT IPTNE; Steven Scharf  
**Subject:** EXT :Fw: RE: Complaint & Petition to Reconsider RE: EPA Superfund Petition

<http://www.osti.gov/bridge/servlets/purl/5223459-HVJAnJ/5223459.pdf>

FYI. Above is a link to the 1977 report by Gray Smith of Grumman-Bethpage, which Mr. Vasile refers to in the thread, below.

\*\*\*\*\*

Carol Stein, P.E.  
Environmental Engineer  
EPA Region 2  
290 Broadway  
New York, NY 10007-1866  
(212) 637-4181

-----Forwarded by Carol Stein/R2/USEPA/US on 02/12/2013 09:36AM -----

To: Ethan Irwin <[REDACTED]>, Phil Franco\_2 <[REDACTED]>  
From: Carmine Vasile <[REDACTED]>  
Date: 02/11/2013 05:49  
Cc: SANDRA ARCANGELO <[REDACTED]>, Claudia Borecky <[REDACTED]>, Greg Naham\_NCCCA <ganconsultants@gmail.com>, Sally Dalzell/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Stuart Walker/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Lenny Siegel <lennysiegel@gmail.com>, "Dr. Allen Hatheway\_Geologist" <allen@hatheway.net>, "Dr. Meyland" <smeyland@nyit.edu>, Lora Fry\_Navy <lora.fly@navy.mil>, Ellen Stein/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Carol Stein/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Ellen Banner/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Judith Enck/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, OIG Hotline@EPA, Carol Stein/R2/USEPA/US@EPA  
Subject: OOPS RE: Complaint & Petition to Reconsider RE: EPA Superfund Petition

(See attached file: Reconsider EPA Superfund Rejection-2\_11\_13.pdf)

OOPS, I attached the wrong file to my email below. The correct one is attached.

---

From: [REDACTED]  
To: [REDACTED]  
Cc: [REDACTED]  
Date: [REDACTED]  
Subject: [REDACTED]

**Personal Privacy Ex 6/ Email**

[REDACTED]l.com; ganconsultants@gmail.com;  
[REDACTED]mail.epa.gov;  
[REDACTED]@navy.mil; stein.ellen@epa.gov; carol.stein@epa.gov;

[banner.ellen@epa.gov](mailto:banner.ellen@epa.gov); [enck.judith@epa.gov](mailto:enck.judith@epa.gov); [oig\\_hotline@epa.gov](mailto:oig_hotline@epa.gov)

Subject: Complaint & Petition to Reconsider RE: EPA Superfund Petition

Date: Mon, 11 Feb 2013 16:28:04 -0500

Ethan: Your email below is upsetting, but not unexpected because the EPA's powers have been usurped by corrupt State officials and a cadre of indifferent EPA managers.

Be that as it may, I believe the attachment contains grounds for a **Petition to Reconsider** because the RCRA managers of the Bethpage & Calverton NWIRPs are mismanaging the remediation process by failing to test for (let alone remove) long-lived radionuclides produced by nuclear waste -- including Cobalt 60 & Iridium-192 industrial gamma-ray sources used for industrial radiography of aircraft, spacecraft, etc -- and Tokamaks developed by Grumman, BNL, the Navy, DOE and its predecessors. [See partial list of contracts in **Table I. Grumman/ERDA/BNL/DOE Reports** (Attachment pg. 5)]

**Note:** Inserted after EPA Director Mugdan's January 3rd rejection letter, is a recent article entitled "**A Fission-Fusion Fusion**" by William Sweet (IEEE Spectrum, January 2013, pp. 9, 10).

It's relevant because of the following passage:

- ***"The net effect would be to convert high-level radioactive wastes containing elements like americium and curium, which need to be stored safely for 100,000 years or more - a problem that has derailed big storage projects like Yucca Mountain - into fission products, such as barium, that fully decay in hundreds of years."***
- Perhaps Director Mugdan or Ellen & Carol Stein can tell you which Grumman dump contains **americium and curium** because not one remediation report even mentions Grumman's nuclear programs; well documented in reports such as the Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor Report, (ERDA Contract EY-76-C- 02-3073): **"MANUFACTURING ASPECTS OF TOROIDAL FIELD MAGNETS FOR TOKAMAK POWER REACTORS"** by Gray E. Smith, Grumman Aerospace Corporation, Bethpage, NY", by way of illustration not limitation.

Finally, despite the fact that both NWIRPs in Calverton & Bethpage had on site dumps, my FOIA response from the LEPC confirmed that neither Grumman nor the Navy ever filed documents required by the Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act (EPCRA) in the LEPCs mentioned by Judith Enck in her letter  
@ <http://www.gfxtechnology.com/EPCRA.pdf>.

Her final paragraph provides contact info for buck-passing EPA managers that repeatedly ignored our complaints of environmental crimes by claiming they lack jurisdiction, e.g.:

- ***Should you have any additional questions, please contact the following EPA personnel: for matters pertaining to EPCRA, Ellen Banner at (732) 321-4348; for matters pertaining to the Clean Air Act, Gaetano LaVigna at (212) 637-4069; for matters pertaining to the Safe Drinking Water Act, Amy Lavoie at (212) 637-4245; and for matters pertaining to Superfund, Jessica Mollin at (212) 637-3921 or the Region 2 Ombudsman, George Zachos, at (732) 321-6621.***

Yours truly,

Dr. Carmine F. Vasile

---

From: e: **Personal Privacy Ex 6/ Email**  
Date: Sun, 10 Feb 2013 16:50:58 -0500  
Subject: EPA Superfund Petition  
To:

**Personal Privacy Ex 6/ Email**

I regret to inform everyone that our superfund petition was rejected. A copy is attached. I wouldve sent it sooner but I had to send my computer out for repair. Thanks, Ethan